From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

To:

TBK-Patent
Bavariaring 4-6
D-80336 München
Germany

RECEIVED
EINGEGANGEN
16. April 2004

PCT

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

Germany	EIN	IGEGANGEN		EXAMINING AUTHORITY				
		6. April 2004		(PCT Rule 66)				
 •								
	T	BK - PATENT	Date of mailing	2001				
			(day/month/year	1 4 -04- 2004				
1 · · ·	Applicant's or agent's file reference REPLY DUE within 60 days from							
WO 32586 International application No.		Ty	(1 - /	the above date of mailing				
PCT/IB 2002/003	03 N	International filing date 05.08.2002	(day/montn/yeur)	Priority date (day/month/year)				
International Patent Classification		J	tion and IPC					
H04Q 7/38	.1011 (, .	A Dom munoma	IVII tana a					
Applicant			•					
Nokia Corporation	on et	al						
		10						
	on establish	hed by the International Se		e ·				
is considered to be a	itton or	oinion of the International	is not	المارية				
2. This first				ting to the following items:				
	asis of the o	· · ·	is mulcanons rome	mg to the following items.				
		pinion						
	riority							
			ard to novelty, inv	ventive step and industrial applicability				
	ick of unity	of invention	•					
		tement under Rule 66.2(a) explanations supporting s		o novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;				
Box No. VI Co	ertain docum	ments cited						
Box No. VII Co	ertain defec	cts in the international appl	lication					
Box No. VIII Co	ertain obser	rvations on the internation	al application					
3. The applicant is hereby in	vited to re	ply to this opinion.						
When? See the time li grant an exten			nay, before the exp	piration of that time limit, request this Authority to				
		reply, accompanied, where guage of the amendments,		mendments, according to Rule 66.3. d 66.9.				
For an informa	Also For the examiner's obligation to consider amendments and/or arguments, see Rule 66.4bis. For an informal communication with the examiner, see Rule 66.6. For an additional opportunity to submit amendments, see Rule 66.4.							
If no reply is filed, the international preliminary examination report will be established on the basis of this opinion.								
4. The final date by which the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter II of the PCT) must be established according to Rule 69.2 is: 05.12.2004								

Name and mailing address of the IPEA/SE	Authorized officer
Patent- och registreringsverket	
Box 5055	
S-102 42 STOCKHOLM	Elisabet Åselius /OGU
Faccimile No. 46 9 667 72 00	Telephone No. 46, 0, 700, 05, 00

Form PCT/IPEA/408 (cover sheet) (January 2004)

WU13.51



PCT/IB 2002/003030

Bo	x No. I	Basis of the opinion	
1.	With a	regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international applic h it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.	ation in the language in
		This opinion is based on a translation from the original language into the following language which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b))	
		publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4)	
		international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)	•
2.	which	regard to the elements of the international application, this opinion has been established on the basing have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referminally filed."):	s of (replacement sheets red to in this opinion as
	\boxtimes	the international application as originally filed/furnished	·
		the description:	•
		• •	rinally filed/furnished
		pages received by this Authority on	
	$\overline{}$	pages received by this Authority on	·
		the claims:	
			rinally filed/furnished
		pages as amended (together with any state pages received by this Authority on	·
ŀ		pages received by this Authority on	
		the drawings:	
		pages as orig	inally filed/furnished
		pages received by this Authority on	
		pages received by this Authority on	
		a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing	g.
3.		The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:	
		the description, pages	
		the claims, Nos.	
		the drawings, sheets/figs	
		the sequence listing (specify):	
		any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):	•
4.		This opinion has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).	have been considered to
		the description, pages	
		the claims, Nos.	· ·
		the drawings, sheets/figs	
		the sequence listing (specify):	
		any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):	
			•
		DE A /409 (Per No. 1) (Inner 2004)	

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

h ational application No.
PCT/IB 2002/003030

Box No. V	Reasoned statement un citations and explanati		2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; ng such statement
1. Statemen	t		iii
Nove	elty (N)	Claims Claims	
Inver	ntive step (IS)	Claims Claims	1-3. 18. 19
Industrial applicability (IA		Claims Claims	

2. Citations and explanations:

The claimed invention relates to a method of carrying an application level message encapsulated inside a signalling message of an access network. This is a way of speeding up the registration procedure in a cellular network.

Documents cited in the International Search Report:

D1: WO 02056618 A
D2: WO 0044191 A
D3: US 2001053145 A1

D1 reveal methods of carrying an application level message encapsulated inside a signalling message of an access network. An application level message is received from a sender application to an access network signalling process, (p.25 lines 22-26). The message is adapted and encapsulated in an access network signalling message, (p.25 line 27-p.26 line 2). The encapsulated application level message is delivered to a receiver application process by transmission of the signalling message, (p.27 claim 48).

The document does not mention that the encapsulated application level message is transparent to the transmitting means of the access network. The basic assumption for a person skilled in the art however, must be that an encapsulated message is transparent to the network transmitting the message. Thus the method defined in claim 1 and the system defined in claim 18 lack an inventive step.

In which node or component of a network, a sender application process is performed involves nothing of inventive significance. Thus claims 2, 3 and 19 also lack an inventive step.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

national application No.

PCT/IB 2002/003030

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient. Continuation of: $Box\ V$.

D2 also discloses how application level message contents are encapsulated in signalling messages (p.8 line 23-p.9 line 3; p.9 lines 9-12), in order to speed up connection setup times during handover. The subject-matter of claims 1 and 18 of the claimed invention lacks an inventive step with a starting point from D2 too.

D3 deals with interworking different transport technologies in communication system including An application layer and a transport layer.